



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/719,566	03/06/2001	Darrel Rowledge	X-9330	3859	
	7590 09/10/2003				
John S Hale			EXAMINER		
Gipple & Hale 6665 A Old Dominion Drive			POLK, SH	ARON A	
McLean, VA	22101		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2836		
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1.01	
Advisory Action	09/719,566	ROWLEDGE, DARRE	L	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Sharon Polk	2836		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover she t with th	correspondenc addres	ss	
THE REPLY FILED 28 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply ich places the applicat	to a tion in	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. It is sion and the corresponding amount of the	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See 136(a) and the appropriate ex e fee. The appropriate exten	MPEP  xtension fee sion fee under	
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final rej	the final Office action; or (2) ection, even if timely filed, ma	as set forth in ay reduce any	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:			
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	oelow);			
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or sin	nplifying the	
(d) \( \square\) they present additional claims without cance	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims	<b>&gt;</b> .	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			•	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed a	amendment	
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT	place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			nd an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: 1-7, and 12-31.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examir	ner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	11	
10.⊠ Other: Note the attached interview summary, paper no. 10.				
		BRIAN SIRCUS		
	SUI	PERVISORY PATENT EX	AMINER 2800	

## Continuation Sh et (PTOL-303) 09/719,566



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The examiner was pursuaded that the prior obviousness rejection of Adell in view of Reppas had been overcome by the purposed amendments. However, the examiner is not sure if Applicant's specification relating to the claimed "upcoming, unexpected road hazard" definitively excludes Adell alone. In particular neither the specification, nor the claims excludes the "warner" as also being the claimed "upcoming, unexpected road hazard." Also, there is nothing to exclude the conventional vehicle lights as the claimed lamp. None the less, the examiner must search for a system that does not have a nsors, or detectors which initiat the warning, based upon Applicant's persuasive arguments.